IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.601 OF 2006
IN
CRIMINAL APPEAL NO.985 OF 2005

Ankush Rajaram More .. Applicant.
Vs.
State of Maharashtra .. Respondent.

Mr.Sameer Pradhan for the applicant.
Mr.P.A.Pol A.P.P. for the State.

CORAM : D.B.BHOSALE, J.

DATED : 12TH JULY, 2006

P.C.:

. Heard learned counsel for the applicant and learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. This is the application for bail, in appeal, which has already been admitted on merits. The applicant has been mainly convicted under section 304-B of I.P.C. and sentenced to suffer R.I. for seven years and pay fine of Rs.5,000/- and in default to undergo imprisonment of 30 days. I perused the judgment and other material placed before me. The prosecution has proved the allegations of cruelty. The deceased - Nutan, wife of the applicant was subjected to cruelty so as to coerce her to fulfil the demand of scooter. She had been threatened that if the demand is not fulfilled she would be treated with

cruelty. There is evidence of P.W.5 and P.W.7 which clearly supports the allegations against the applicant. Considering the totality of the evidence on record which is held to be sufficient to establish the offence beyond reasonable doubt, I am not inclined to enlarge the applicant on bail. The judgment of the trial Court appears to be reasonable and in any case cannot be termed as perverse at this stage. In the circumstances this application is rejected. At this stage Mr.Pradhan, learned counsel for the applicant prays for liberty to the applicant to prepare paper book and for fixed date of hearing. Liberty as prayed for is granted. It is open for the applicant to apply for fixed date of hearing after filing of the paper book. If the appeal is not heard within two years from the date of filing of the paper book liberty to the applicant to apply for bail afresh.

(D.B.Bhosale, J.)